

Responsible Procurement Policy

Policy | PRC-POL-001

Document type	Policy
Title	Responsible Procurement Policy
Document ID	{{facility.code}}-PRC-POL-001
Version and status	1.1 Approved by the ASF Expert Committee
Prepared by	{{roles.quality_lead.name}}, Quality lead
Reviewed by	{{roles.clinical_director.name}}, Clinical director Date: _____
Approver and signature	{{roles.clinical_director.name}}, Clinical director Signature: _____ Date: _____
Effective date	{{document.effective_date}}
Next review	{{document.review_date}} (12 months, or sooner after any incident or change)
Replaces	Adapts SOP-109 and SOP-116 (generic)
Standards and criteria supported	Standard 2C, criterion 2C.4
Evidence basis	E-006, E-009
Status of this document	Recommended best-practice model. A hospital may adopt, adapt or replace it with an equivalent that meets the same criteria.

At a glance: what to do

1. Consider supplier environmental and social practice in every purchase above the set value (EC).
2. Reduce wasteful use: packaging, single-use items and over-ordering.
3. Any move away from reusable items is reviewed against infection control and manufacturer guidance.
4. Review the main suppliers each year.

Stop and get help straight away if: a single-use item is removed without infection control and manufacturer review.

1. Purpose

To make sure purchasing decisions consider environmental and social responsibility, and that no change to reduce waste compromises patient or staff safety [E-006, EC].

2. Scope

Applies to	Procurement, all budget holders, infection prevention lead
Locations	All purchasing at {{facility.legal_name}}
When	Every purchase; review of criteria yearly
Does not apply to	Clinical product selection for safety, which follows clinical and infection control approval

3. Definitions and abbreviations

Term	Meaning in this SOP
Single-use item	A product designed for one use and then discarded
Stewardship	Using resources carefully, avoiding waste, and choosing suppliers that act responsibly

4. Background and rationale

Purchases carry environmental and social costs. Criterion 2C.4 asks procurement to consider them, while protecting safety. Lowest price alone does not meet this criterion.

5. Responsibilities

Role	Duties in this SOP	Competence needed
Procurement lead	Owens the criteria and the supplier review	Procurement
Budget holders	Order only what is needed; flag waste	Induction
Infection prevention lead	Approves any change to single-use items	Infection prevention

6. Equipment, materials and resources

Item	Specification or standard	Check before use
Procurement criteria (SOP-109, adapted)	Current	Available to buyers
Supplier review record (PRC-FRM-001)	Current	Main suppliers listed
Supplier declaration (FRM-N09)	Signed	On file

7. Safety and infection prevention

- No reduction of single-use items where infection control or the manufacturer requires them.
- Reusable items must be reprocessed to the required standard, with the cost of that counted.

8. Before you start

- Check the criteria for the category of purchase.

9. Procedure

Follow the steps in order. Where a step says "If", take the action for the case that applies. Do not skip a step. If a step cannot be done, use section 11 and section 12.

Step	Action	How to do it	Key point
1	Apply the criteria.	- For each purchase above the set value, record how the environmental and social criteria were considered. - Use the supplier declaration (FRM-N09) for new suppliers.	The reason recorded is what shows the criteria were used.
2	Reduce waste.	- Review over-ordering, packaging and single-use items each quarter. - Agree reductions where safe, and record them.	Waste reduction starts with ordering less, not only with recycling.
3	Review single-use changes.	- Any proposal to change to a reusable item, or to remove a single-use item, goes to the infection prevention lead. - Check the manufacturer instructions and the reprocessing cost.	Safety review comes before the saving.
4	Review suppliers yearly.	- Review the main suppliers each year on PRC-FRM-001: environmental practice, labour practice, waste and packaging. - Record the result and any action.	A review each year is what keeps the check meaningful.

Decision points

- If a main supplier fails the review, the procurement lead agrees an improvement plan or a change of supplier.

10. Special situations

Situation	What to do
Emergency purchase	Follow the emergency purchasing rules first. Record the criteria considered afterwards.

11. Problems and escalation

- Safety concerns about a proposed change are referred to the infection prevention lead the same day.

12. Monitoring and compliance

What is checked	Target	How often	Who	If the target is missed
Purchases above set value with criteria recorded	100%	Quarterly sample	Procurement lead	Retrain buyers
Main suppliers reviewed in last 12 months	100%	Yearly	Procurement lead	Escalate to chief executive
Single-use changes approved by infection prevention	100%	Each event	Infection prevention lead	Review

13. Records

Record	Where it is kept	Kept for
PRC-FRM-001 Supplier Review Record	Procurement file	{{facility.records_retention_years}} years
FRM-N06 Procurement Comparison and Award Record	Procurement file	{{facility.records_retention_years}} years

14. Training and competence

- Buyers are briefed on the criteria at induction and yearly (EC).

15. Related documents

ID	Title	Relationship
PRC-SOP-001	Procurement Stewardship Review	Used in steps 1 to 4
PRC-FRM-001	Supplier Review Record	Supplier review
SOP-109	Procurement Criteria (generic)	Basis
SOP-116	Supplier and Product Selection Criteria (generic)	Basis

16. Evidence

ID	Source and status
E-006	ASF Policy Framework v1.2. Adapted.
E-009	ASF Expert Committee consensus. Criteria weights and the value threshold to be set.

EC = ASF Expert Committee consensus. Items marked EC are reviewed by the Committee each year.

17. Change history

Version	Date	What changed	Approver and signature
1.0	{{document.effective_date}}	First version	Approved by the ASF Expert Committee

Version	Date	What changed	Approver and signature
1.1	{{document.effective_date}}	Internal quality review: corrected cross-references, status wording and document control	Approved by the ASF Expert Committee